

# HATE

*Why We Should Resist It with Free  
Speech, Not Censorship*

Nadine Strossen

OXFORD  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

# Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	x i
<i>Editor's Note</i>	x v
<i>Key Terms and Concepts</i>	x i x
INTRODUCTION	i
1. OVERVIEW	ii
2. "HATE SPEECH" LAWS VIOLATE FUNDAMENTAL FREE SPEECH AND EQUALITY PRINCIPLES	37
3. WHEN "HATE SPEECH" IS PROTECTED AND WHEN IT IS PUNISHABLE	53
4. BECAUSE OF THEIR INTRACTABLE VAGUENESS AND OVERBREADTH, "HATE SPEECH" LAWS UNDERMINE FREE SPEECH AND EQUALITY	69
5. IS IT POSSIBLE TO DRAFT A "HATE SPEECH" LAW THAT IS NOT UNDULY VAGUE OR OVERBROAD?	105
6. DOES CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED "HATE SPEECH" ACTUALLY CAUSE THE FEARED HARMS?	121

## CONTENTS

7. "HATE SPEECH" LAWS ARE AT BEST INEFFECTIVE AND AT WORST COUNTERPRODUCTIVE	133
8. NON-CENSORIAL METHODS EFFECTIVELY CURB THE POTENTIAL HARMS OF CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED "HATE SPEECH"	157
9. CONCLUSION: LOOKING BACK—AND FORWARD	183
<i>Index</i>	187