
CONTENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CRITERIA FOR TRANSGENIC ANIMAL MUTAGENICITY ASSAYS

PREAMBLE	x
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xix
GLOSSARY	xxii
1. SUMMARY	1
PART I: OVERVIEW OF GENOTOXICITY TESTING AND TRANSGENIC ANIMAL MUTAGENICITY SYSTEMS	7
2. OVERVIEW OF GENOTOXICITY TESTING	8
2.1 Gene mutation assays in vivo using endogenous genes	8
2.2 Gene mutation assays in vivo using transgenes	8
3. CONSTRUCTION/PRODUCTION OF TRANSGENIC ANIMALS USING AS EXAMPLES THE <i>LACI</i> AND <i>LACZ</i> MUTATION MODELS	10
3.1 The foreign gene construct	10
3.2 Generation of transgenic animals with shuttle vectors: the transfer method	10
3.3 Strains and species used	12
3.4 Target or reporter genes — the <i>lac</i> operon	12
3.5 Transgene shuttle vectors	13
3.6 Transgenic animal models	14
3.6.1 <i>lacI</i> transgenic model — the Big Blue® construct	14
3.6.2 <i>lacZ</i> transgenic mouse model — the Muta™Mouse construct	15

4. THE TRANSGENIC MUTAGENICITY ASSAY — METHODOLOGY USING AS EXAMPLES <i>LACI</i> AND <i>LACZ</i> MUTATION MODELS	16
4.1 Treatment schemes	16
4.2 Collection of (target) tissues and isolation of DNA	16
4.3 Recovery of the DNA construct of the shuttle vector from the genomic DNA and in vitro packaging	16
4.4 Infection into bacteria and plating	18
4.5 Detection and quantification of mutations	18
4.5.1 Big Blue® system	18
4.5.2 Muta™Mouse system	19
5. FURTHER TRANSGENIC MUTAGENICITY ASSAYS	21
5.1 <i>lacII</i> assay (Big Blue® and Muta™Mouse)	21
5.2 <i>gpt</i> delta model	24
5.2.1 <i>gpt</i> delta rodents	24
5.2.2 6-TG selection	24
5.2.3 Spi ⁻ selection	24
5.3 The <i>lacZ</i> plasmid model	26
5.4 Upcoming transgenic models for which no extensive data are available	27
5.4.1 ΦX174 transgenic mouse model	27
5.4.2 λsupF transgenic mouse	28
5.4.3 pKZ1 transgenic recombination model	28
5.4.4 <i>rpsL</i> transgenic mouse model	29
6. PARAMETERS AND CRITERIA FOR VALID EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	30
6.1 Determinants studied using transgenic mutation assays	30
6.1.1 Types of mutations	30
6.1.2 Overall mutant/mutation frequency	30
6.1.3 Spontaneous mutant frequency	31
6.1.4 Sequence analysis	31
6.2 Criteria for valid experimental design of transgenic mutation assays	32
6.2.1 Selection of the most suitable model	32
6.2.2 Duration of exposure	32
6.2.3 Selection of the dose	33

6.2.4	Post-treatment manifestation time	33
6.2.5	Significance of a negative result	35
6.2.6	Factors to consider when comparing the performance of mutation assays	35
6.2.7	Positive control	36
6.2.8	Sensitivity	36
6.2.9	Statistics	37
6.2.10	Analysis of DNA sequence	37
6.2.11	Use of transgenic assays in the detection of gene mutations in germ cells	38
 PART II: COMPARISON OF THE <i>LACI</i> MODEL AND THE <i>LACZ</i> MODEL WITH CONVENTIONAL TEST SYSTEMS		 39
 7. INTRODUCTION TO PART II		 40
7.1	Aim of the comparison and criteria for the selection of data	40
7.2	Choice and limitations of data	41
7.3	Validity of data on transgenic animal mutation assays	42
7.4	Criteria for predictivity of transgenic assays	43
 8. COMPARISON OF THE MUTA™MOUSE AND BIG BLUE® ASSAYS		 44
 9. TRANSGENIC ASSAYS — COMPARISON WITH OTHER ASSAYS		 46
9.1	The Muta™Mouse assay and the Big Blue® mouse assay versus the mouse bone marrow micronucleus test	46
9.1.1	The mouse bone marrow micronucleus test: principles and procedures	61
9.1.2	Comparison of data from the mouse bone marrow micronucleus test and transgenic mouse test	61
9.1.2.1	Bone marrow	61
9.1.2.2	All organs	63
9.1.3	Predictivity of the transgenic animal mutagenicity assays and the mouse bone marrow micronucleus test for carcinogenicity	63

9.1.4	Comparison of both test systems	66
9.1.5	Conclusions	68
9.2	The Muta™Mouse assay and the Big Blue® mouse or rat assay versus assays using endogenous reporter genes	70
9.2.1	Results in the mouse spot test compared with those from transgenic animals	70
9.2.1.1	Description of the mouse spot test	71
9.2.1.2	Comparison of the mouse spot test with transgenic mouse model systems	71
9.2.1.3	Predictivity of the transgenic animal mutagenicity assays and the mouse spot test for carcinogenicity	78
9.2.1.4	Advantages and disadvantages of both test systems	79
9.2.1.5	Conclusions	80
9.2.2	Transgenic animal mutagenicity assay versus <i>Hprt</i> and other endogenous genes	82
9.2.2.1	Description of endogenous gene animal models	87
9.2.2.2	Comparative studies	89
9.2.2.3	Conclusion	93
9.3	Transgenic animal mutagenicity assays and indirect measure of DNA damage using UDS in vivo assay	94
9.4	Results of transgenic animal mutagenicity assays compared with results of genotoxicity assays in vitro	94
9.4.1	Gene mutation	95
9.4.2	Chromosomal aberration	95
9.4.3	Direct or indirect measure of DNA damage	103
9.4.4	Conclusion	103
10.	TRANSGENIC ASSAYS AND CARCINOGENICITY TESTING	104
10.1	Comparison of target organs in carcinogenicity studies with target organs in transgenic animal mutation assays	104
10.1.1	Pattern of target organs	104
10.1.2	Analysis of the predictivity for the liver as target organ	121
10.1.3	Conclusion	127

10.2	Comparison of results of carcinogenicity studies with results from transgenic animal mutagenicity assays	128
10.2.1	Non-genotoxic carcinogens	139
10.2.2	Validity of data on transgenic animal mutation assays	139
10.2.3	Evaluation of the predictivity for carcinogenicity in mice	140
10.2.4	Conclusion	148
 PART III: APPLICATIONS OF TRANSGENIC ANIMAL MUTAGENICITY STUDIES		 149
 11. MUTATION FREQUENCIES AND SEQUENCING DATA AND APPLICATIONS OF THIS INFORMATION IN MECHANISTIC STUDIES		 150
11.1	Studies on spontaneous mutant/mutation frequencies (in organs of non-exposed transgenic animals)	150
11.1.1	Sources of spontaneous mutations	150
11.1.2	Spontaneous mutation data: sequence data in organs of non-exposed transgenic animals	151
11.1.3	The frequency and nature of spontaneous mutations versus age in multiple tissues	152
11.2	Examination of fundamental paradigms in genetic toxicology	154
11.2.1	Dose-response relationships	155
11.2.2	Correlation of dose with mutation frequency and carcinogenicity	157
11.2.3	Relationship between DNA adducts, cell proliferation and gene mutations	158
11.3	Studies into the mechanism of action of mutagenicity/carcinogenicity using sequence data	161
11.3.1	Clonal correction and correction for ex vivo mutations	161
11.3.2	Premutagenic lesions	162
11.3.3	Tissue-specific responses	164
11.3.4	Evaluation of genotoxicants that do not appear to interact with DNA	166
11.3.5	Active components of mixtures	166
11.3.6	Active metabolites	166
11.3.7	Investigations into the mechanisms of deletion mutations in vivo	167

11.4	Importance of the transgenic mutation assays for studies other than genetic toxicology	169
PART IV: EVALUATION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		171
<hr/>		
12.	EVALUATION OF THE TRANSGENIC ANIMAL MUTAGENICITY ASSAYS BASED ON THIS REVIEW OF THE CURRENT LITERATURE	172
12.1	Features of the assay	172
12.2	Gene mutation assay — implications for testing	172
12.2.1	Reliability of a negative result	173
12.3	Comparison with endogenous genes	174
12.4	Molecular analysis and mechanistic studies	174
12.5	Animal welfare and economy	175
13.	CONCLUSIONS	177
14.	RECOMMENDATIONS	178
REFERENCES		179
APPENDIX 1: MASTER TABLE		215
APPENDIX 2: THE <i>CII</i> ASSAY IN TRANSGENIC RODENT STUDIES		279
RESUME		287
RESUMEN		293