

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	5
Foreword	7
Executive summary	8
List of figures and tables	13
1. Introduction	14
1.1. Purpose of this report	14
1.2. Methodology	14
1.2.1. Linking policies to data	14
1.2.2. Statistical analyses methodology	15
1.2.3. Limitations of the methodology	15
1.2.4. Availability of statistics	16
2. The policy context	16
2.1. Science and the scientific infrastructure	16
2.1.1. Science, economic growth and power	16
2.1.2. Certain fields of science as ‘masculine’	17
2.1.3. Science and Excellence	17
2.1.4. Working in science	18
3. Results by grade	19
3.1. Graduates	19
3.2. Researchers	20
3.2.1. Gross domestic expenditure on R&D spent per R&D personnel	21
3.2.2. Hypotheses to explain the link between women in R&D and GERD	22
3.3. Professors	28
4. Results for policies and measures	29
4.1. Legislation	29
4.2. Mainstreaming	29
4.3. Women in Science Institutions	30
4.4. Targets and quotas	30
4.5. Networks, role models and mentoring	31
4.6. Universities and research	31
4.7. Work–life balance	32
4.7.1. Maternity leave	32
4.7.2. Paternity leave	33
4.7.3. Returnees	33
4.8. Comparative analyses	33
4.8.1. Groups of measures	33
4.8.2. Networking, mainstreaming, and innovation systems	36
5. Conclusions	37
6. Recommendations	38
References	39
List of acronyms	40
Annex 1: Summary chart of equality measures	42
Annex 2: Summary national reports and statistical profiles	44
EU Member States	44
AUSTRIA	44
BELGIUM	47
BULGARIA	50
CYPRUS	52
CZECH REPUBLIC	55
DENMARK	58

ESTONIA	61
FINLAND	64
FRANCE	67
GERMANY	70
GREECE	73
HUNGARY	76
IRELAND	79
ITALY	82
LATVIA	85
LITHUANIA	87
LUXEMBOURG	90
MALTA	92
THE NETHERLANDS	95
POLAND	98
PORTUGAL	101
ROMANIA	104
SLOVAK REPUBLIC	107
SLOVENIA	110
SPAIN	113
SWEDEN	115
UNITED KINGDOM	118
Associated Countries	121
CROATIA	121
ICELAND	124
ISRAEL	127
NORWAY	129
SWITZERLAND	132
TURKEY	135
Western Balkans	138
ALBANIA	138
BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA	140
FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA	142
MONTENEGRO	144
SERBIA	146
Annex 3: Sources of data and explanations	148
Annex 4: Helsinki Group on Women and Science - list of members	152
Annex 5: Helsinki Group Statistical Correspondents - list of members	155
Annex 6: Government budget appropriations or outlays on R&D by socio-economic objectives (NABS) as a percentage of total GBAORD-2002	156
Annex 7: Growth Rate of % women professors grade A	157
Annex 8: Statistical analyses details	158
Annex 9: Year of implementation of certain policies in some countries	160

List of figures and tables

Figures

Figure 1: Percentage of women ISCED 5A graduates in 2004	19
Figure 2: Percentage of women ISCED 5A graduates in engineering, manufacturing and construction	20
Figure 3: Percentage of women in total R&D Personnel relative to the amount of Gross domestic expenditure on R&D (GERD) spent per R&D personnel (in Euros), in 2004.	21
Figure 4: GERD by sectors of performance as percentage of total GERD, in 2004	23
Figure 5: Percentage of Research Scientists and Engineers that are female by sector, in 2004	24
Figure 6: Percentage of women researchers relative to the percentage of GERD spent on the business enterprise sector, in 2004.	25
Figure 7: Women as a percentage of those in employment, professionals and researchers	26
Figure 8: Proportion of Women Grade A professors (%) in 2004	28
Figure 9: Percentage of women full professors in relation to length of maternity leave, in 2004.	32
Figure 10: The overlap between targets and university equality plans	34
Figure 11: The overlap between women and science units and funding for women and science	34
Figure 12: The overlap between mentoring and funding for women and science	35
Figure 13: The overlap between mentoring and university equal opportunity plans	35
Figure 14: Correspondence analysis of country type and gender mainstreaming policies	36
Figure 15: Correspondence analysis of country type and women's networks for science	36

Tables

Table 1: Coefficients, adjusted R squared and excluded variables from OLS regressions seeking explanations of female researcher percentages	
Table 2: Logistic regressions of policy measures and potential outcomes beta values, R2 and significance	