International Relations: The Great Debates
Volume I

Edited by

Rainer Baumann
Universität Bremen, Germany

Peter Mayer
Universität Bremen, Germany

and

Bernhard Zangl
Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany

An Elgar Research Collection
Cheltenham, UK • Northampton, MA, USA
Acknowledgements ix
Introduction Rainer Baumann, Peter Mayer and Bernhard Zangl xi

PART I SUBSTANTIVE DEBATES

A First Debate: Realism vs. Idealism


B The Inter-paradigm Debate: Realism vs. Pluralism vs. Globalism


C Neo-Neo Debate: Neorealism vs. Neoliberalism


D Statism vs. Global Governance


International Relations: The Great Debates
Volume II

Edited by

Rainer Baumann
Universität Bremen, Germany

Peter Mayer
Universität Bremen, Germany

and

Bernhard Zangl
Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany

An Elgar Research Collection
Cheltenham, UK • Northampton, MA, USA
Acknowledgements

An introduction to all three volumes by the editors appears in Volume I

PART II EPISTEMOLOGICAL DEBATES

A  Traditionalism vs. Science


2. Raymond Aron (1967), 'What is a Theory of International Relations?', Journal of International Affairs, XXI (2), 185–206


B  Third Debate: Positivism vs. Post-Positivism


---

**PART III** ONTOLOGICAL DEBATES

A The Agent–Structure Debate


B Rationalism vs. Constructivism


International Relations: The Great Debates
Volume III

Edited by

Rainer Baumann
Universität Bremen, Germany

Peter Mayer
Universität Bremen, Germany

and

Bernhard Zangl
Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany

An Elgar Research Collection
Cheltenham, UK • Northampton, MA, USA
Contents

Acknowledgements ix
An introduction to all three volumes by the editors appears in Volume I

PART IV NORMATIVE DEBATES

A Competing Perspectives on International Ethics:
Moral Scepticism vs. Communitarianism vs. Cosmopolitanism


B Human Rights

*Daedalus*, **112** (4), Fall, 1–17  
12. Richard Rorty (1993), ‘Human Rights, Rationality, and 
Sentimentality’, in Stephen Shute and Susan Hurley (eds), *On 
Basic Books, 111–34, 244–8  
and Cultural Differences’, *Hypatia*, **13** (2), Spring, 32–52  
of Political Philosophy*, **7** (4), 353–77  
Most We Can Hope For?’, *Journal of Political Philosophy*, **12** (2), 
190–213  

C Coercion, Deterrence, and the Use of Force  

Affairs*, **1** (2), Winter, 123–44  
of Philosophy*, **LXXV** (6), June, 285–302  
18. David Luban (1980), ‘Just War and Human Rights’, *Philosophy and 
Public Affairs*, **9** (2), Winter, 160–81  
to Four Critics’, *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, **9** (3), Spring, 
209–29  
20. Gerald Dworkin (1985), ‘Nuclear Intentions’, *Ethics*, **95** (3), April, 
445–60  
Ethics of Economic Sanctions’, *Ethics and International Affairs*, **13** 
(1), March, 123–42  
Surgical Tools: Response to a “Peaceful, Silent, Deadly Remedy”’, 
*Ethics and International Affairs*, **13** (1), March, 143–48  
23. Jeff McMahan (2005), ‘Just Cause for War’, *Ethics and 
International Affairs*, **19** (3), 1–21  

D Poverty and Distributive Justice  

and Public Affairs*, **1** (3), Spring, 229–43  
October, 561–68  
*Philosophy and Public Affairs*, **4** (4), Summer, 360–89


E The Global Polity


